**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.958 OF 2006**
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.776 OF 2006

Shankar Vishram Kavatkar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri N.N.Patil for the Applicant.
Shri Rajesh More, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

**CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.**
**DATE : SEPTEMBER 21, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant. He states that notice is served of this Application to the C.B.I. in its office at Tanna House at Mumbai. The learned A.P.P. is present. However, none appears for C.B.I. The Affidavit of service is filed.

2. The Appeal has been admitted. The conviction of the Appellant is under the provisions of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The maximum substantive sentence is of three years. During the pendency of the trial the Applicant was enlarged on bail. There is nothing on record to show that the facility of the bail was misused by the Applicant. Considering the facts of the case and considering the

fact that the Appeal may not be heard till the period of sentence is over, I pass the following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) The Applicant shall report to C.B.I., Tanna House, Mumbai once in month till the final disposal of the Appeal.

**JUDGE**